

Sonography Canada Award for Outstanding Journal Submission

Reviewer Initials:

CATEGORY	8->10	5->7	3->5	0->2	Score out of 10	Weighted Score
Introduction - Value 10%	The topic is clearly defined and a hypothesis or clinical question is provided.	The topic is clearly defined but the hypothesis or clinical question is unclear.	The topic is provided but the significance is unclear. A hypothesis or clinical question is not provided.	The topic is not clear and the significance of the topic is not stated. A hypothesis or clinical question is not provided.		
Content - Value 15%	Covers topic in-depth with details and examples. Subject knowledge is excellent.	Includes essential knowledge about the topic. Subject knowledge appears to be good.	Source information collected for graphics, facts and quotes, but not documented in desired format or ideas not supported.	Content is minimal OR there are several factual errors.		
Integration and Application - Value 15%	Clear evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project.	Some evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project.	Little evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project.	No evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project.		
Discussion - Value - 15%	The main ideas are thoroughly discussed. Relevant examples or applications are provided.	The main ideas are somewhat discussed and limited examples or applications are provided.	The main ideas are discussed briefly but no relevant examples or applications are provided.	The main ideas are poorly discussed and presented and no relevant examples or applications are provided.		
Summary - Value 10%	The hypothesis or clinical question is supported with effective and comprehensive summary statements.	The hypothesis or clinical question is summarized with effective but limited summary statements.	The hypothesis or clinical question is summarized with weak or ineffective summary statements.	The hypothesis or clinical question is not summarized with summary statements.		
Originality - Value 10%	Product shows a large amount of original thought. Ideas are creative and inventive.	Product shows some original thought. Work shows new ideas and insights.	Uses other people's ideas (giving them credit), but there is little evidence of original thinking.	Uses other people's ideas, but does not give them credit.		
Mechanics - Value 10%	No misspellings or grammatical errors.	Three or fewer misspellings and/or mechanical errors.	Four misspellings and/or grammatical errors.	More than 4 errors in spelling or grammar.		
Attractiveness - Value- 5%	Makes excellent use of font, color, graphics, effects, etc. to enhance the presentation.	Makes good use of font, color, graphics, effects, etc. to enhance to presentation.	Makes use of font, color, graphics, effects, etc. but occasionally these detract from the presentation content.	Use of font, color, graphics, effects etc. but these often distract from the presentation content.		
Organization - Value 5%	Content is well organized using headings or bulleted lists to group related material.	Uses headings or bulleted lists to organize, but the overall cohesiveness and flow could be improved.	Some evidence of organization, but for the most part lacks consistency.	There was no clear or logical organizational structure, just lots of facts.		
Sources - value 5%	Source information collected for all graphics, facts and quotes. All documented in desired format.	Source information collected for all graphics, facts and quotes. Most documented in desired format.	Source information collected for graphics, facts and quotes, but not documented in desired format.	Very little or no source information was collected.		