Outstanding Literature Review or Article Awards

Reviewer Initials:

Presentation:

ARTICLE or LITERATURE REVIEW RUBRIC

CATEGORY	8-10	5-7	2-4	0-1	Score out of 10	Weighted Score
Abstract - Value 10%	The abstract is an accurate and concise description of the article/literature review including introduction, content, discussion and conclusion.	The abstract is a description of the article/literature review with some information provided in the introduction, content, discussion and conclusion, but some sections are unclear.	description of the article/literature review, lacks clarity and is wordy.	The abstract is not a good reflection of the article/literature review. The abstract is excessively wordy.		0
Introduction - Value 10%	engaging and leads to a clear purpose/thesis statement. 2-3 main	Background information leads to a purpose/thesis statement. 1-2 main discussion points of article/literature review are identified in the purpose statement.	times unclear or uninteresting.	Background information is not clearly articulated. Main discussion points are not identified.		0

Content: Method, Description & Discussion - Value 30%	amount of original and creative thought. Method: Concise details are provided about topic/article selections, inclusions/exclusion criteria, search engines etc. Description & Discussion: Provides in-	Content shows some original thought. Method: Some details are provided about topic/article selections, inclusions/exclusion criteria, search engines etc. Description & Discussion: Provides some essential knowledge for etiology, pathophysiology and treatment. Subject knowledge appears to be adequate.	ideas (giving them credit) but little evidence of original	Content uses other people's ideas and does not give them credit. Content is minimal OR there are several factual errors. Method: Details about topic/article selections, inclusions/exclusion criteria, search engines etc. are minimal or contain errors. Description & Discussion: Information provided does not address etiology, pathophysiology and treatment and/or contains errors	0
Integration & Application - Value 20%	were used to thoroughly discuss main ideas. Relevant example and/or application to ultrasound	Main ideas are somewhat discussed to elaborate article/literature review. Relevant but limited examples of applications to ultrasound practices are included.	Little evidence that higher level critical thinking skills were used in article/literature review. Applications to ultrasound practice are included but lack logic, relevant and clarity.	practice are absent, irrelevant	0
Conclusion - Value 10%	points is highlighted and	A brief summary of important content is presented but missing some points.	A summary of the main points is presented but clarity could be enhanced.	A summary of the main points is not clearly presented.	0

referencing style is us					
with no errors for all graphics, facts and quotes.	sistent Appropriate and consistent sed referencing style is used with 1 2 errors for all graphics, facts and quotes.	0,	Very little or no source information was collected.	Percent:	