

## Outstanding Journal Submission Award

Reviewer Initials:

Presentation:

### CASE STUDY RUBRIC

CATEGORY	8-10	5-7	2-4	0-1	Score out of 10
<b>Introduction &amp; Summary - Value 10%</b>	<b>Introduction:</b> The topic is clearly defined and a hypothesis or clinical question is provided. <b>Summary:</b> The hypothesis or clinical question is supported with effective and comprehensive summary statements	<b>Introduction:</b> The topic is clearly defined but the hypothesis or clinical question is unclear. <b>Summary:</b> The hypothesis or clinical question is summarized with effective but limited summary statements.	<b>Introduction:</b> The topic is provided but the significance is unclear. A hypothesis or clinical question is not provided. <b>Summary:</b> The hypothesis or clinical question is summarized with weak or ineffective summary statements.	<b>Introduction:</b> The topic is not clear and the significance of the topic is not stated. A hypothesis or clinical question is not provided. <b>Summary:</b> The hypothesis or clinical question is not summarized with summary statements.	
<b>Content - Value 15%</b>	Covers topic in-depth with details and examples. Subject knowledge is excellent.	Includes essential knowledge about the topic. Subject knowledge appears to be good.	Source information collected for graphics, facts and quotes, but not documented in desired format or ideas not supported.	Content is minimal OR there are several factual errors.	
<b>Integration &amp; Application - Value 15%</b>	Clear evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project.	Some evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project.	Little evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project	No evidence that higher level thinking skills were used in the creation of this project	

<b>Impactfulness - 25%</b>	The submission has a significant impact on the field of sonography. It presents innovative ideas, challenges current practices, or proposes meaningful changes that could advance the profession. The relevance to sonography is strong, and the work has the potential to influence clinical practice, education, or policy.	The submission contributes to the field of sonography with relevant ideas or perspectives. While it may not be groundbreaking, it presents useful insights that could be applied in practice. The relevance to the profession is clear, but the impact is moderate.	The submission has limited impact on the field of sonography. It presents familiar ideas without significant innovation or application. While relevant, it does not strongly contribute to advancing the profession.	The submission lacks impact or relevance to sonography. It does not provide meaningful contributions to the profession and has little to no potential to influence practice, education, or policy.	
<b>Discussion - Value 15%</b>	The main ideas are thoroughly discussed. Relevant examples or applications are provided.	The main ideas are somewhat discussed and limited examples or applications are provided.	The main ideas are discussed briefly but no relevant examples or applications are provided.	The main ideas are poorly discussed and presented and no relevant examples or applications are provided.	
<b>Originality - Value 10%</b>	Product shows a large amount of original thought. Ideas are creative and inventive.	Product shows some original thought. Work shows new ideas and insights.	Uses other people's ideas (giving them credit), but there is little evidence of original thinking.	Uses other people's ideas, but does not give them credit.	
<b>Attractiveness - Value 5%</b>	Makes excellent use of font, colour, graphics, effects to enhance the presentation	Makes good use of font, graphics, effects to enhance presentation.	Makes use of font, graphics, effects but occasionally these detract from the presentation content.	Use of font, colour, graphics, effects but these often distract from the presentation content.	

<b>Organization - Value 5%</b>	Content is well organized using headings or bulleted lists to group related material.	Uses headings or bulleted lists to organize, but the overall cohesiveness and flow could be improved.	Some evidence of organization, but for the most part lacks consistency.	There was no clear or logical organizational structure, just lots of facts.	
--------------------------------	---	---	---	---	--

Percent:

---

Weighted Score

0

0

0



